



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,546	09/27/2001	Yasuo Yamamoto	110704	9227
25944 75	590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			PATEL, NITIN	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	7
		DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TA PARAMETER N	1.4			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/963,546	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nitin Patel	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dat I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	March 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hou et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,113,810).

As per claim 1, Hou shows an image display medium (element 10 In Fig.1 and In Col.3 lines 14-16) having a pair of facing substrates (elements 18,20 In Fig.1 and In col.3 lines 17-19); and at least two kinds of particles (elements 22 and 24 In Fig.1 and In col.3 lines 22-25) sealed in a space between a pair of substrates (elements 18,20 in fig.1), at least two kinds of particles (elements 22,24 In fig.1), each having only a single color (first particle having a first color and second particle having a second color In Abstract and In col.3 lines 32-35), have a characteristic that at least one kind of them is positively chargeable (In col.3 lines 46-56) and at least one other kind of them is negatively chargeable and the particles chargeable positively and negatively are of colors different from each other(In abstract and In col.3 lines 28-38 and lines 40-55 and In Col.4 lines 15-22) and a charge controller is internally added to one or both of the particles chargeable positively and negatively(In Col.3 lines 45-65 and Col.4 lines 1-12).

Art Unit: 2673

As per claim 2, Hou shows a charge controller is colorless of less coloring capability (In Col.7 lines 62-67 to Col.8 lines 1-10).

As per claim 3, Hou shows image display medium having one of particles chargeable positively and negatively is white (In col.3 lines 40-45).

As per claim 4, Hou shows white particles contain a colorant and the colorant is titanium oxide (in col.3 lines 60-67 and Col.4 lines 15-24).

As per claim 9, Hou shows an image generation unit generates an electric field in accordance with images disposed between the pair of substrates 9ln Col.4 lines 10-12).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hou et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,113,810) in view of Nakanishi et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,411,398).

As per claim 5, Hou shows an image display medium (element 10 In Fig.1 and In Col.3 lines 14-16) having a pair of facing substrates (elements 18,20 In Fig.1 and In col.3 lines 17-19); and at least two kinds of particles (elements 22 and 24 In Fig.1 and In col.3 lines 22-25) sealed in a space between a pair of substrates (elements 18,20 in fig.1), at least two kinds of particles (elements 22,24 In fig.1), each having only a single color (first particle having a first color and second particle having a second color In

Abstract and In col.3 lines 32-35), have a characteristic that at least one kind of them is positively chargeable (In col.3 lines 46-56) and at least one other kind of them is negatively chargeable and the particles chargeable positively and negatively are of colors different from each other (In abstract and In col.3 lines 28-38 and lines 40-55 and In Col.4 lines 15-22) and a charge controller is internally added to one or both of the particles chargeable positively and negatively (In Col.3 lines 45-65 and Col.4 lines 1-12).

Hou does not teach a non-magnetic particles having frictional chargeability.

Nakanishi shows a non-magnetic particles having frictional chargeability 9In abstract and in col.7 lines 54-67 to Col.8 lines 10-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made to allow the teaching of Nakanishi's non magnetic particles in a display system into Hou's display device because it would have attracted the light absorptive non magnetic particles shifted by attraction from black surface of the display to invert the position of the light reflective non magnetic particles in corresponding area to forming characters and images.

As per claims 6-8 Hou does not show a non-magnetic particles in an insulative substrates connected to a power source. Nakanishi shows non-magnetic particles in insulative substrates connected to a power source (In Col.5 lines 54-60 and lines 62-67 and Col.6 lines 32-42 and In Col.11lines 35-46 a controller similar to power source to magnetize the particles in a display). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made to combined the teaching of Nakanishi's into display device of Hou's because it would have optimized to improve the image

Art Unit: 2673

quality of the display by intensify the contrast of brightness and darkness of the images on the display which caused by positional relationship particles.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-7024. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,546

Art Unit: 2673

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin H Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NP

June 10, 2004

Amare Mengistu
Primary Examiner